

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MARYLAND  
NORTHERN DIVISION**

In the Matter of the Petition

of

GRACE OCEAN PRIVATE LIMITED,  
as Owner of the M/V DALI,

and

SYNERGY MARINE PTE LTD,  
as Manager of the M/V DALI,

for Exoneration from or Limitation of Liability.

Docket No. JKB 24-cv-941

**ANSWER TO THE PETITION FOR EXONERATION FROM OR  
LIMITATION OF LIABILITY**

Claimants respectfully answer the Petition for Exoneration from or Limitation of Liability.

Claimants are: Jeffrey D. Katz, as personal representative of The Estate of Maynor Suazo Sandoval; Bertalia Verenice Martinez Funez, surviving wife of Maynor Yasir Suazo Sandoval; Bertalia Verenice Martinez Funez as next friend of Alexa Michelle Suazo Martinez, surviving minor daughter of Maynor Yasir Suazo Sandoval; David Yasir Suazo Posadas, surviving son of Maynor Yasir Suazo Sandoval; and Rosa Emerita Sandoval Paz, surviving mother of Maynor Yasir Suazo Sandoval.

Claimants respectfully answer as follows:

1. Claimants admit to the admiralty and maritime jurisdiction of this Court, but specifically reserve all rights to proceed at law under 28 U.S.C. § 1333(1) on all claims and issues, against Petitioners and any other party, in a forum of their choice.
2. Admitted.

3. Claimants admit that Grace Ocean Private Limited is a Singapore-based company and was the registered owner of the *Dali* at the time of the incident. Claimants lack knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations and therefore deny those allegations.

4. Claimants admit that Synergy Marine Pte Ltd is a Singapore-based company and the technical manager of the *Dali* at the time of the incident. Claimants lack knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations and therefore deny those allegations.

5. Claimants admit the vessel is a containership. Claimants lack knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations and therefore deny those allegations.

6. Claimants admit the Key bridge spans the Patapsco River. Claimants lack knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations and therefore deny those allegations.

7. Claimants admit that the *Dali* was at the beginning of its voyage to Sri Lanka from Baltimore on March 26, 2024, when the incident occurred. Claimants lack knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations and therefore deny those allegations.

8. Claimants admit that a pilot was onboard the vessel as the vessel left the berth at the Port of Baltimore. Claimants lack knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations and therefore deny those allegations.

9. Claimants admit that the *Dali* lost power and propulsion in the Fort McHenry Channel. Claimants lack knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations and therefore deny those allegations.

10. Admitted.

11. Admitted.

12. Claimants admit that eight workers were on the bridge at the time of the allision. Two workers suffered injuries and survived while six construction workers suffered injuries and died.

13. Denied.

14. Denied.

15. Claimants lack knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of this allegation and therefore deny this allegation.

16. Claimants lack knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of this allegation and therefore deny this allegation.

17. Claimants lack knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of this allegation and therefore deny this allegation.

18. Claimants lack knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of this allegation and therefore deny this allegation.

19. Claimants lack knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of this allegation and therefore deny this allegation.

20. Claimants lack knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of this allegation and therefore deny this allegation.

21. Claimants lack knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of this allegation and therefore deny this allegation.

22. Admitted the Petition is timely filed.

23. Denied.

24. Denied.

Claimants deny that Petitioners are entitled to their requested relief.

**CLAIMANTS' AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

1. The Petition fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

2. Petitioners lack standing and/or authority to invoke the protections of the Limitation of Liability Act of 1851.

3. Managers of vessels are not entitled to invoke the Limitation of Liability Act of 1851.

4. The Limitation Fund is inadequate because Petitioners' alleged value of the *Dali*, her engines, apparel, appurtenances, pending freight any other assets is incorrect and the security posted is inaccurate.

5. The Limitation Fund is inadequate because it does not account for the additional funds required under 46 U.S.C. § 30524(b).

6. Petitioners are not entitled to reduce the limitation fund by cost of salvage.

7. 46 U.S.C. § 30524 applies to this action as *Dali* was a seagoing vessel.

8. Exoneration is not permitted because Petitioners, their officers, employees, managing agents, agents, superintendent, the master of the vessel, and crew members conducted negligent, reckless, willful and wanton acts and omissions proximately causing the allision and Claimants' injuries.

9. Petitioner Synergy is not an owner of the vessel and, therefore, is not entitled to invoke the protections of the Limitation of Liability Act.

10. Limitation is not permitted because Petitioners, their officers, employees, managing agents, agents, superintendent, master of the vessel, and crew members had privity and knowledge of the negligent, reckless, willful and wanton acts and omissions proximately causing the allusion and Claimants' injuries.

11. Exoneration is not permitted because the vessel was unseaworthy.

12. Limitation is not permitted because Petitioners, their officers, employees, managing agents, agents, superintendent, master of the vessel, and crew members had privity and knowledge of the unseaworthiness of the vessel.

13. Claimants' damages and losses were not the result any negligence, fault or want of due care on behalf of Claimants or the Decedent.

14. Claimants should be permitted to offer appropriate stipulations protecting Petitioners' right to limitation such that this action should be abated and Claimants should be allowed to pursue their claims against Petitioners at law and in a forum of his choice under 28 U.S.C. § 1333(1).

15. This proceeding and the associated injunction violate the Rules Enabling Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2071-77.

16. The injunction violates the anti-injunction act, 28 U.S.C. § 2283.

17. The Limitation of Liability Act of 1851 and the injunction on Claimants' prosecuting his common law claims against Petitioners "at law" violates the Seventh Amendment of the Constitution and the saving-to-suitors clause, 28 U.S.C. § 1333(1).

18. Claimants have an absolute right under both 28 U.S.C. § 1333(1) to proceed at law, before a jury, on all aspects of his claims, including but not limited to damages, negligence, and “exoneration,” in a forum of their choosing. Claimants specifically reserve all rights to pursue all available claims and venues, no part of this Answer and Claim shall be construed to be a waiver of these rights.

19. The Petition contains vague and ambiguous statements containing more definite statements under Rule 12(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

20. This matter and any trial must be bifurcated such that Claimants can address all issues triable at law in a venue of Claimants’ choice before a jury.

21. Claimants reserve the right to assert additional defenses.

### **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Claimants demand a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

### **RESERVATION OF SAVING-TO-SUITORS RIGHTS**

Claimants reserve all rights to proceed at law under both 28 U.S.C. § 1333(1) and the Seventh Amendment of the United States Constitution, before a jury, on all claims and issues, against Petitioners, in a forum of their choice.

### **PRAYER**

Claimants request that:

1. Petitioners be denied exoneration.
2. Petitioners be denied limitation.
3. Petitioners be adjudged liable, without limitation.
4. Claimants be permitted to proceed at law in a venue of their choice or, alternatively, be awarded all damages requested in their Claim.
5. The Petition be dismissed and judgment rendered in favor of Claimants.

6. Claimants be awarded their costs of suit incurred in defense of this action with interest and attorneys' fees; and
7. The Court grant other such necessary and just relief.

/s/ Matt Simmons

Matt Simmons

Federal Bar ID 14700

**LAW OFFICES OF MATT SIMMONS,  
ESQ.**

401 E. Jefferson St., Ste. 208

Rockville, Maryland 20850

Phone: (240) 671-4058

Email: [Matt@Hoyalaw.com](mailto:Matt@Hoyalaw.com)

**Attorneys for Jeff Katz as personal  
representative of the Estate of Maynor  
Suazo Sandoval, Bertalia Verenice  
Martinez Funez, and Bertalia Verenice  
Martinez Funez as next friend of Alexa  
Michelle Suazo Martinez**

Craig M. Sico\*

**SICO LAW GROUP**

802 N. Carancahua, Suite 900

Corpus Christi, Texas 78401

Phone: (361) 653-3300

Fax: (361) 653-3333

Email: [csico@shhlaw.com](mailto:csico@shhlaw.com)

**Attorneys for Jeff Katz as personal  
representative of the Estate of Maynor  
Suazo Sandoval, Bertalia Verenice  
Martinez Funez, and Bertalia Verenice  
Martinez Funez as next friend of Alexa  
Michelle Suazo Martinez**

*\*Application for admission pro hac vice  
forthcoming*

Cesar Ornelas II\*

Angela Tabares\*

JonCarlo Serna\*

**CESAR ORNELAS LAW, PLLC**

14607 San Pedro Ave.

San Antonio, Texas 78232

Phone: (210) 957- 2103

Fax: (888) 342-8033

Email: [cesar@oinjurylaw.com](mailto:cesar@oinjurylaw.com)

**Attorneys for Jeff Katz as personal representative of the Estate of Maynor Suazo Sandoval, Bertalia Verenice Martinez Funez, and Bertalia Verenice Martinez Funez as next friend of Alexa Michelle Suazo Martinez**

*\*Applications for admission pro hac vice forthcoming*

/s/ Paul D. Bekman

Paul D. Bekman

Federal Bar ID 00019

**Bekman, Marder, Hopper, Malarkey & Perlin, L.L.C.**

1829 Reisterstown Road, Suite 200

Baltimore, Maryland 21208

Phone: (410) 539-6633

Fax: (410) 625-9554

Email: [bekman@mdtrialfirm.com](mailto:bekman@mdtrialfirm.com)

**Attorneys for David Yasir Suazo Posadas**

/s/ Judson H. Lipowitz

Judson H. Lipowitz

Federal Bar ID 05138

John R. Solter, Jr.

Federal Bar ID 27483

**Azrael, Franz, Schwab, Lipowitz & Solter, LLC**

101 E. Chesapeake Avenue, 5th Floor

Baltimore, Maryland 21286

Phone: (410) 821-6800

Email: [jlipowitz@azraelfranz.com](mailto:jlipowitz@azraelfranz.com)

Email: [jsolter@azraelfranz.com](mailto:jsolter@azraelfranz.com)

**Attorneys for Rosa Emerita Sandoval Paz**



**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

In compliance with Supplemental Federal Rule F(5), I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 20th day of September, 2024, I electronically filed the foregoing pleading with the Clerk of Court by using the CM/ECF system which will send a notice of electronic filing to all counsel who are CM/ECF participants.

/s/Matt Simmons

---

Matt Simmons  
Federal Bar ID 14700  
LAW OFFICES OF MATT SIMMONS,  
ESQ.  
401 E. Jefferson St., Ste. 208  
Rockville, Maryland 20850  
Phone: (240) 671-4058